UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,106	10/18/2005	Rob Hooft Van Huijsduijnen	SLII-P01-003	1491
28120 ROPES & GRA	7590 03/26/200 XY LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DOC	KETING 39/41	HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA		
BOSTON, MA	ATIONAL PLACE 02110-2624		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/530,106	VAN HUIJSDUIJNEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sheela J. Huff	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u>	nuary 2008					
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,18,19 and 21-39</u> is/are pending in t	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,18,19 and 21-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·— · · · ·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 1/31/08 has been considered. Applicant's arguments are deemed to be persuasive-in-part.

Claims 16, 18-19, 21-39 are pending.

The specification and drawings are no longer objected to.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 34-39 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: These claims are directed to a method for inhibiting the catalytic activity of Sap-1 using a cross-linking agent whereas the other claims are directed to a method of treating cancer using a proteinaceous cross-linking agent. Both method are patentably distinct because they are directed to methods that have different end results and use different reagents.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 34-39 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16, 18-19, 21-33 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as the specification does not contain a written description of the claimed invention, in that the disclosure does not reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s) had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. The reasons for this rejection are of record in the paper mailed 8/31/07.

Applicant argues that Sap-1 is not claimed and that the invention uses proteinaceous cross-linking agents and many are described in the specification.

Applicant is claiming a method of treating src-associated cancer using proteinaceous cross-linking agents that cross-link two molecules of Sap-1. With respect to proteinaceous cross-linking agents, applicant defined that as proteins, polypeptides and peptides useful for cross-linking two Sap1 molecules. In order for one skilled in the art know what proteinaceous cross-linking agents can cross-link two Sap1 molecules, one skilled in the art needs to know what Sap-1 is.

Applicant asked for clarification on the Examiner's comments pertaining to a caution against addition of new matter. This is merely a caution in the event applicant amended the claims to further define Sap1.

Claims 16, 18-19, 21-33 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The reasons for this rejection are of record in the paper mailed 8/31/07. Please note: the part of the rejection pertaining to "prevention" and broad scope of cancers is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

Applicant argues that Exhibit A provides the nexus between in vitro and in vivo data. This reference is directed to the use of antibodies to CD148 is possible angiogenesis therapy. Applicant's claims are directed to the treatment of cancer, not angiogenesis therapy. Also the no clear connection between the in vitro assay used in the instant invention and that in the reference. Furthermore, the only agents used by applicant are BS3 (a chemical crosslinker) and antisense molecules. Applicant have not used any proteinaceous agents in their assays. In the reference, an antibody was used. Again, there is not direct correlation between the agents in the references and those in the instant application.

Claims 31-33 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The reasons for this rejection are of record in the paper mailed 8/31/07. Please note: the rejection pertaining to part "a" is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

With respect to part "b", applicant argues that the specification defines and provides examples of derivatives. The specification partially defines derivatives in that it states where the protein is derivatized, but not with what the protein is derivatized.

Conclusion

The claims remain free from the art of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela J. Huff whose telephone number is 571-272-0834. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Thursday from 5:30am to 1:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,106 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sheela J Huff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1643

sjh

//Sheela J Huff// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643